

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/966,533	09/28/2001	Russell F. Mcknight	P1733US00	4798	
24333	7590 10/19/2005		EXAM	INER	
GATEWAY, INC. ATTN: SCOTT CHARLES RICHARDSON			DEANE JR, WILLIAM J		
610 GATEWAY DRIVE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MAIL DROP Y-04			2642		
N. SIOUX CIT	TY, SD 57049				

DATE MAILED: 10/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Appl	licant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/966,533	мск	MCKNIGHT, RUSSELL F.			
		Examiner	Art U	Jnit			
		William J. Deane	2642				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apor Reply	ppears on the cover	sheet with the corresp	oondence address			
WHI0 - Exte after - If NO - Fails Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perioure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS CO  1.136(a). In no event, however  d will apply and will expire Sorte, cause the application to	MMUNICATION.  ver, may a reply be timely filed  IX (6) MONTHS from the mail become ABANDONED (35 U	ing date of this communication. .S.C. & 133).			
Status							
1)  ズ	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08</u>	August 2005	•				
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims		.,				
	Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the applicatio	ın.					
-,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) <u></u>	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
-	Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected.						
7)							
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requiren	nent.				
Applicat	ion Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner .					
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		acted to by the Evami	nor			
. •/	Applicant may not request that any objection to th						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E						
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 25	USC \$ 110(a) (d) a	# /F)			
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	in phonty under 35	D.S.C. 9 119(a)-(d) 0	Γ (τ).			
۵,	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	<ul> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri			<del></del>			
	application from the International Bure			Tis Hational Stage			
* (	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
•	· ·	3 23					
Attachmen  1) Notice		"□.	mhan tau G	440)			
2)  Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		nterview Summary (PTO-4 Paper No(s)/Mail Date				
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 or No(s)/Mail Date	8) [8] (8	Notice of Informal Patent A				

Application/Control Number: 09/966,533

Art Unit: 2642

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 5-13, 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,631,188 (Sands).

With respect to claims 1 - 2, 10 - 12, 15, note that Sands teaches a caller ID unit for identifying caller information associated with an incoming call (see Fig. 5), and scheduling means for a call-back (Abstract).

It is unclear as to whether the caller ID unit is incorporated into the telephone 12. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have incorporated the caller ID device into the phone 12 as such only entail putting two separate devices used together and incorporating them into one device.

With respect to claim 3, note Fig. 1.

With respect to claim 5, note Abstract.

With respect to claim 6, note Abstract and controls (40).

With respect to claim 7, note telephone 12.

With respect to claim 8, note storage device 26.

With respect to claim 9, note storage device 28.

With respect to claim 13, note elements 42 and 44.

Art Unit: 2642

With respect to claims 16 - 18, note telephone 12 and caller ID unit 22. The other means cited would be obvious in view of Sands and the other art cited prior art cited throughout the prosecution of the case.

With respect to claim 21, note that, as broadly claimed, telephone 12 is a portable handheld device.

Claims 4, 14,19 and 22 - 23 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sands and U.S. Patent No. 6,760,423 (Todd).

Sands teaches the claimed device accept for the calendar aspects of the invention. However, note that Todd teaches a calendar situated in a phone (Fig. 4A) and that the calendar initiates the calls.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 - 23 have been considered but are not deemed persuasive to any error in the rejections above.

The combination of a caller ID unit and a telephone are well known in the art and as stated to combine such would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill.

With respect to the combination of Sands and Todd, applicant's arguments are not understood. Todd was presented to show that calendars are known to be contained in phones and that one can schedule phone calls have them automatically dialed at the date and time selected by a user. This of course could be done after a call is completed.

Application/Control Number: 09/966,533

Art Unit: 2642

## Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bill Deane whose telephone number is (571) 272-7484. In addition, facsimile transmissions should be directed to Bill Deane at facsimile number (703) 273-8300.

16Oct2005

WILLIAM J. DEANE, JR. PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 4